



Request For Proposals Notification 4 Additional Questions

NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

To all potential proposers:

Solicitation Number: S-191-17 – On Call Bridge Inspection/Evaluation
Engineering Services

Questions / Answers:

1. Question/answer number 12 for solicitation S-191-17 states SF330 forms and 3 client references are required for Traffic Control subconsultants. This is normally a direct cost and we solicit multiple vendors in an effort to reduce cost for this operation and guarantee MOT will be available, from at least one vendor, in emergency events. Should we select a traffic control firm to team with us for this project? ? **In lieu of the Traffic Control subconsultant being identified on the organizational chart along with SF 330 forms and 3 client references, responding firms provide a list the potential Traffic Control subconsultant firms they may use.**
2. The Scope of Service page 6, I.6.e states that traffic control must be approved by the appropriate SCDOT District. Are PE signed/sealed MOT plans required to be submitted and approved prior to every bridge safety inspection workzone setup? **Formal MOT Plans would only be required for selected sites otherwise a generic setup should be fine for any approvals.**
3. Question/answer number 7 for solicitation S-191-17 states examples of load capacity ratings conducted by the engineer are to include a signed summary report and an e-file for each example. We are required to sign a non-disclosure with each state we perform load rating analysis with. This will prohibit us from providing this required data. Please let me know how to proceed. **Responding consultant firms that have signed a non-disclosure with an entity(ies) should provide a qualified reference from those entity(ies) stating that they are satisfied with the load ratings provided.**
4. With the removal of Section III. Complex Bridge Inspections, from the RFP, is the following section still applicable to provide staff with “design experience” of complex structures?

D.II.1:

“For the load rating of routine structures the consultant must have experience in the design or load rating of bridges. For the load rating of complex structures, the consultant’s engineer performing the load rating must have experience in designing that type of structure. Examples of complex structures are segmental concrete bridges, post tensioned bridges, curved steel girder bridges, and trusses. If the consultant changes the individual or individuals performing the load rating of a complex structure, the new individual must be approved by the Department’s Project Manager “ **Yes.**



Request For Proposals Notification 3 Revised RFP

NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

To all potential proposers:

Solicitation Number: S-191-17 – On Call Bridge Inspection/Evaluation
Engineering Services

E. A **direct response to each of the selection criteria** identified in section I.
(Page11)

Revised below on (Page 11)

E. A **direct response to each of the selection criteria** identified in section **H**.
(Section H)



Request For Proposals Notification 2 Revised Due Date, Response to Questions & Revised RFP

NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

To all potential proposers:

**Solicitation Number: S-191-17 – On Call Bridge Inspection/Evaluation
Engineering Services**

- The due date for the above referenced selection has been extended from March 14, 2017 to March 31, 2017.
- SCDOT received various questions regarding the RFP. The questions and responses are listed below in Question / Answer format.
- Revised RFP is attached (pages 3 – 23)

Questions / Answers:

1. (Pg. 3) B.I.3. Scour Inspections – Is the intent for this item to include underwater inspections, which are currently being performed under a separate contract, or simply rod soundings? **No.**
2. (Pg. 3) B.I.4. – What is intended to be included with Special Inspections? Is this intended to include Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and/or Ultrasonic Testing? Installation and monitoring of strain/movement gages and devices? **Yes, and includes interim inspections such as after an event.**
3. (Pg. 3) B.1. – Are inspections of moveable bridges to be included in this contract? This would require mechanical and electrical inspections, which are not mentioned in this RFQ. **No.**
4. (Pg. 3) B.II.5. - Will the measurements also include the use of structural health monitoring devices? **Yes, possibly.**
5. (Pg. 4) II.2. – For Routine Bridge Inspections, are Team Leaders only required to meet one of the five criteria listed, as is customary, or is SCDOT requiring all the criteria be met? **One.**
6. (Pg. 5) III.3. – For Complex Bridge Inspections, are Team Leaders only required to meet one of the five criteria listed, as is customary, or is SCDOT requiring all the criteria be met? **One.**
7. (Pg. 6) III.1.b. – "...and examples of load capacity ratings conducted by the engineer..". Certain states, Idaho for example, only require a one or two page signed summary report along with the

electronic file. Are one or two page signed summary reports acceptable for meeting this requirement? **Yes, if it includes the e-file.**

8. (Pg. 6) III.1.b. – Do the resumes and examples of the load ratings count towards the 25 page maximum? **No.**
9. (Pg. 7) III.1.b.ii. – Does the representative listing of bridges, including owner, type, and rating information count towards the 25 page maximum? **No.**
10. (Pg. 7) III.1.c. – Do the copies of each Professional Engineer’s License count towards the 25 page maximum or can they be included in the Appendices? If this is an electronic submittal, are three hard copies to be submitted separately or can we submit one PDF with compiled copy of resumes? **One electronic copy is acceptable.**
11. (Pg. 7) III.1.c. – Is it acceptable to provide copies of Professional Engineer’s Licenses in other states, with the understanding that Professional Licensure in South Carolina can be obtained through comity? **Yes, but if you do not obtain an SC Professional Engineer’s license within a reasonable length of time, then the Department reserves the right to revoke your possible selection and move to the next qualified/ranked firm.**
12. (Pg. 8) G.G. – Are SF330 forms required for Traffic Control subconsultants? Are three (3) client references required from Traffic Control subconsultants? **Yes.**
13. Do the SF 330s in the Appendices need to adhere to the 12 point font minimum? **No.**
14. 2. Can the examples of load capacity ratings and copies of each Professional Engineer’s license and other related certifications identified on p. 6-7 of the RFP (Section III. Statement of Qualifications, bullets b and c) be included as separate Appendix **Yes.**
15. On p. 6 of the RFP, Section D., II. Consultant Qualifications, Number 1, can the engineers performing load ratings of complex structures demonstrate experience in performing load ratings of these types of structures in lieu of design? **No.**
16. 2. P. 6 of the RFP, Section D., III. Statement of Qualifications, Number 1b requires that “all other engineers that will assist with load capacity ratings” have resumes and examples of load capacity ratings included in the response. Can we limit this to key personnel only? **No.**



NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

REVISED RFP

Solicitation Number S-191-17 - On Call Bridge Inspection/Evaluation Engineering Services

The SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT) requests a letter of interest and a current resume of qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing **Bridge Inspection/Evaluation Engineering Services** on an on-call basis necessary for bridges in South Carolina. Requested services include but are not limited to: bridge safety inspections, bridge load rating evaluations and other related duties deemed necessary.

These services will be provided under a three (3) year on-call contract. The services will be needed statewide and provided on an "as needed" basis. The SCDOT will select up to Three (3) firms to provide these services with a total On-Call amount of **\$3,000,000.00** to be distributed among the firms. Consultants will be evaluated and ranked based on their score during the selection process. Consequently, work under each On-Call will be assigned based on the consultant's qualifications for the project being assigned for an individual task order/work order. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

RFP information associated with this solicitation is located at the following link: http://info.scdot.org/SCDOTProfessionalServ/SitePages/constructionLetting_Services.aspx#tabs-5

For questions, please contact the SCDOT Contracting Officer, Wendy Hollingsworth, at (803) 737-0746 or via email at Hollingswg@scdot.org. **Electronic Submissions are due no later than 2:00 PM, March 31, 2017.**

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

AGENCY	South Carolina Department Of Transportation (SCDOT)
DESCRIPTION:	On Call Bridge Inspection/Evaluation Engineering Services
SOLICITATION NUMBER	S-191-17
ADVERTISEMENT DATE	February 21, 2017; Revision Date: March 13, 2017
CONTRACTS OFFICER (CO)	Wendy Hollingsworth (803) 737-0746
SUBMIT YOUR RFP TO:	All electronic proposal submissions and the letter of interest should be submitted via ProjectWise electronic submittal system no later than 2:00 PM (EST), <u>March 31, 2017</u> . No proposals shall be accepted after the date and time specified.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST
- B. SCOPE OF SERVICE
- C. CONSULTANT BRIDGE INSPECTION TEAM REQUIREMENTS
- D. CONSULTANT BRIDGE LOAD CAPACITY RATING PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS
- E. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT
- F. SUBMITTAL FORMAT
- G. PROPOSAL CONTENT
- H. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS
- I. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION
- J. TIE BREAKER
- K. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS

A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST:

The **SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT)** requests a letter of interest and a current resume of qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing Bridge Inspection/Evaluation Engineering Services on an on-call basis necessary for bridges in South Carolina. Requested services include but are not limited to: bridge safety inspections, bridge load rating evaluations, and other related duties deemed necessary.

These services will be provided under a three (3) year on-call contract. The services will be needed statewide and provided on an “as needed” basis. The SCDOT will select up to Three (3) firms to provide these services with a total On-Call amount of \$3,000,000.00 to be distributed among the firms. Consultants will be evaluated and ranked based on their score during the selection process. Consequently, work under each On-Call will be assigned based on

the consultant's qualifications for the project being assigned for an individual task order/work order. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

SCDOT will use a one-step evaluation process to select a consultant with which to execute a contract for this Project. After evaluating the submitted RFP, the Selection Committee will recommend the three top-ranking firms in order to the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO). The Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) will have final approval. The determination regarding how many Proposers to recommend is not subject to review or protest. Cost is NOT a factor in the ranking of consultants to provide services herein. DO NOT include any reference to consultant costs in the RFP response. Any RFP response with any discussion of cost will be disqualified. Consultants are advised that this evaluation and selection process is a competition and not simply a prequalification. Note that any Task Order, or Work Order procured under this On-Call will utilize one of the following methods of compensation: cost plus fixed fee with a contract maximum, specific rates of compensation/unit rates with a contract maximum, or lump sum.

B. SCOPE OF SERVICE:

I. General Bridge Inspections to include, but not limited to:

- 1. Normal Inspections**
- 2. Fracture Critical Inspections**
- 3. Scour Inspections, this does not include underwater inspections.**
- 4. Special Inspections, this includes Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)**
- 5. Bridge Inspection Reports:**
 - a. To be in an SCDOT Bridge Maintenance Engineer approved format.**
 - b. Initial submittals will require District Bridge Inspection prior to final submittal to Director of Maintenance – Bridge Maintenance Office.**
 - c. Final Report Submittals will be due within 30 calendar days of the inspection.**
- 6. Traffic Control:**
 - d. Consultant will be responsible for all Traffic Control**
 - e. Traffic control must adhere to MUTCD standards and be approved by the appropriate SCDOT District.**
- 7. Inspection teams must be able to mobilize within 72 hours of request.**
- 8. SCDOT considers a bridge inspection team to consist of two (2) people which includes one team leader.**
- 9. For information purposes only SCDOT is requesting the responding firms include the number of bridge inspection teams available.**
- 10. The scope of services under this On-Call does not include the inspection of moveable bridges.**

II. General Load Ratings to include, but not limited to:

- 1. Load and Resistance Factor Rating**
- 2. Load Factor Rating**
- 3. Superstructure Rating**
- 4. Substructure Rating if conditional rating is less than five (5) for timber piles**
- 5. Measurements and detailing if plans are unavailable, this may include the use of structural health monitoring devices.**

C. Consultant Bridge Inspection Team Requirements:

I. General Requirements

Consultants shall inspect bridges in accordance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) published in the Code of Federal Regulation (23 CFR 650.3).

Any consultants utilized for the inspection of bridges for the Department shall maintain a staff of competent field and office personnel that have qualifications meeting the requirements of NBIS and as outlined above with other stipulations as detailed in the individual scopes of work.

II. Bridge Inspection Qualifications

1. For routine bridge inspections, the firm must employ an individual to serve as Project Manager who meets the following qualifications:
 - a. Is a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of South Carolina
 - b. Has successfully completed an FHWA approved comprehensive bridge inspection training course. The requirement for completion of a comprehensive training course will be considered to mean completion of the two-week course presented by the National Highway Institute (NHI) called Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges.
 - c. **SCDOT requires all Project Managers to successfully complete a mandatory refresher course every five years, NHI Course No. 130053**

2. The Bridge Inspection Team Leaders employed by the firm must have one of the following:
 - a. Is a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of South Carolina, and 2 years of bridge inspection experience
 - b. Five-years of bridge inspection experience
 - c. NICET Level III or IV Bridge Safety Inspector certification
 - d. Bachelor degree in engineering from ABET accredited college or university, EIT certificate, and three-years of bridge inspection experience
 - e. Associate Degree in engineering from ABET accredited college or university and four-years of bridge inspection experience

3. In addition to the above qualifications, the bridge inspection team leader must have the following training:
 - a. Successful completion of a FHWA approved comprehensive bridge inspection training course
 - b. Completion of periodic bridge inspection refresher training according to State policy

D. Consultant Bridge Load Capacity Rating Personnel Requirements:

I. General Requirements

1. In accordance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), all highway bridges on public roads must be rated and posted, if required, for all legal loads and unrestricted routine permit loads. *Specialized Hauling Vehicles* (SHVs) must be considered in bridge load rating and posting if such vehicles are legally allowed to cross the bridge. A number of rating vehicles and rating methods have been identified by the FHWA and SCDOT as being required, in order to meet federal regulations.
2. Consultants shall load rate structures in accordance the current version of the AASHTO “*The Manual for Bridge Evaluation*”, and other documents included and referred to in the contract. Those documents should be reviewed by the consultant to determine if any questions arise from using those manuals and procedures.
3. SCDOT is currently using the AASHTO load rating program called BrR to rate SCDOT bridges. Since this load rating program cannot rate all types of bridges, other load rating methods can be used when appropriate to get an accurate and realistic load capacity rating.

II. Consultant Qualifications

1. For the load rating of routine structures the consultant must have experience in the design or load rating of bridges. For the load rating of complex structures, the consultant’s engineer performing the load rating must have experience in designing that type of structure. Examples of complex structures are segmental concrete bridges, post tensioned bridges, curved steel girder bridges, and trusses. If the consultant changes the individual or individuals performing the load rating of a complex structure, the new individual must be approved by the Department’s Project Manager.
 - a. Have at least one Registered Professional Engineer, licensed in the State of South Carolina, qualified to oversee, review and certify all load capacity ratings performed by that firm
 - b. Have a load capacity rating program(s) that produces all load rating results required by the federal regulations and SCDOT (checks: moment, shear, and serviceability), and produces rating values that are comparable to the values calculated by the BrR load rating program. All calculations performed by a non-licensed engineer must be reviewed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the state of South Carolina.

III. Statement of qualifications within the submitted proposal response must include

1. The Qualifications shall include, as a minimum:

- a. Profile outlining history, experience, size, and affiliations of your firm.
- b. Provide résumés and examples of load capacity ratings conducted by all individuals that will assist with load capacity ratings. The reports need to be signed by the individual to be considered.
The résumés shall include at a minimum the following information:
 - i. Number of years of bridge load capacity rating experience and when, types of bridges rated, rating programs used and for what firm those ratings were performed
 - ii. A representative listing of bridges Load Rated in the last three (3) years, including:
 - a. State, county, route, district and owner
 - b. Structure type
 - c. Type of rating – load factor, LRFR, hand calculations, etc.
 - d. Type of calculations – flexure, shear, serviceability, etc.
 - e. Rating program
- c. An electronic copy of each Professional Engineer’s License and any other related certifications.

Notes

1. The résumés, signed reports, and certifications are to be included in the appendices of the submittal and do not count toward the 25 page maximum of the submitted proposal document.
2. Certification of Professional Engineer’s License from another state is acceptable with the understanding that Professional Licensure in South Carolina can be obtained through comity; however, if licensure in South Carolina is not obtained, SCDOT reserves the right to revoke the selection and move to the next qualified/ranked firm.

E. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT:

This is a qualifications-based selection and the contract will be competitively negotiated. Awards will be given to the most qualified of the responsible and responsive consultant firms. This qualification-based selection is based on the Brooks Act (40 U.S.C. 11).

F. SUBMITTAL FORMAT:

All responding firms must utilize ProjectWise, SCDOT’s electronic proposal submission process. Information regarding ProjectWise can be found @ http://www.scdot.org/doing/constructionLetting_ProjectWise.aspx. Please contact Eric Stuckey at 803-737-1003 or StuckeyEC@scdot.org to set up an account to begin utilizing the electronic submittal process. Consultants are REQUIRED to upload their submittals online through ProjectWise. Only one completed submittal per team will be accepted and shall be uploaded by lead consulting firm. Please be advised of the time required to set up new account. All requests for new accounts must be received 72 hours prior to the proposal deadline indicated in the milestone schedule. More information is available at http://www.scdot.org/doing/constructionletting_projectwise.aspx. In the event that a consultant is unable to submit its RFP response through ProjectWise, the consultant shall contact the CO to request authorization to submit the

RFP response in another format. RFP responses which do not have prior SCDOT authorization to deviate from the ProjectWise format may be considered non-responsive.

All questions regarding the scope of work in the RFP must be submitted by e-mail to the CO or as directed in the RFP. Questions shall be received a minimum of five (5) business days prior to the date and time that the proposal is due unless otherwise stated in the RFP. No further questions shall be accepted after that time specified. SCDOT will strive to place all questions and their answers as an amendment on the SCDOT website within 48 hours of receipt of the questions. The names of the consultant/vendors submitting questions will not be disclosed. Oral explanations or instructions will not be binding.

The RFP response must be submitted by the date and time listed in this RFP. The response shall contain no more than twenty-five (25) double spaced pages, typed on one side only, excluding appendices. Minimum font size shall be 12-point, and the response document page size shall be standard 8.5 inches x 11 inches. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. Responses should address each of the following proposal content requirements in the same order as listed below. The consultant may wish to include additional information. If a consultant does not submit responses to these items, their submittal may be considered non-responsive and returned without further review/evaluation. Consultants are advised that SCDOT reserves the right to conduct an independent investigation of any information, including prior experiences, identified in the responses. Consultants are responsible for effecting delivery by the deadline date and time; late submissions will be rejected without opening. SCDOT accepts no responsibility for misdirected or lost proposals. Responses shall be explained and identified within the twenty-five (25) pages. Appendices may only be used to support or supplement the detailed answers, but cannot be used as a substitute for the required narrative response.

G. PROPOSAL CONTENT:

- A. **Letter of Interest:** Does not count toward the 25 pages. The Letter of Interest should be no longer than two (2) pages and shall contain the following items:
 - a. An expression of the Prime Consultant's interest in being selected for the project.
 - b. A statement confirming the commitment of key personnel identified in the submittal to the extent necessary to meet SCDOT's quality and schedule expectations, and that they are available for the duration of projects procured under this On-Call.
 - c. Provide the legal name (first, middle and last (including maiden name, if appropriate)) of the Prime Consultant Principal, Officer of the Firm or Project Manager responsible for this contract that has authority to sign the contract for consultant.
 - d. A summary of key points regarding the Prime Consultant's qualifications.
 - e. Signing the letter of interest constitutes authorization of consultant to submit qualification for the purpose of negotiating and entering a contract with SCDOT.
 - f. Certification of authorized submitter that information contained within is correct by including: "I certify that the information included within this document, is to the best of my knowledge, correct as of the date indicated".

- B. **Project Organization Chart:** Limited to one (1) side of one sheet of paper. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. This chart must include the legal names (first, middle and last (including maiden name, if appropriate)) of the key individuals selected for this project and other individuals considered critical to the success of the project and their roles, the names of the consultant by which they are employed, levels of management and reporting relationships and major functions to be performed in managing projects that may be procured under this On-Call. The organizational chart must clearly display any DBE firm(s) that will be utilized. It shall also indicate the people who will be points of contact with the SCDOT Project Manager.

- C. **Qualifications for key individuals and all other individuals that are considered critical to the success of work that may be procured under this On-Call.** Qualifications should include information on experience related to similar projects and previous project work.

- D. **Legal names (first, middle and last (including maiden name, if appropriate)) for all individuals** identified for this project within the submitted proposal.

- E. A **direct response to each of the selection criteria** identified in section **H**.

F. The consultant must provide a **chart indicating the present workload of key personnel** and other individuals considered critical to the success of projects procured under this On-Call. This chart is to include all active projects (concurrent projects with other entities such as cities, other state agencies, counties, COGs MPOs, private sector) and their availability for this project.

G. APPENDICES:

- a. Standard Form 330 (SF 330) as required by the Federal Acquisitions Regulations. All parts of the SF 330 must be completed in its entirety for the prime consultant, any sub-consultants and any sub-contractors. Also, indicate if the prime consultant has previously worked with the proposed sub-consultant and give a brief example of the previous relationship(s). The SF 330s will not count against the maximum page limit and can be included in the appendices. The font utilized on the SF 330 is not required to be 12 point, however is may not be smaller than 9 point.
- b. Provide a list of References who have personal knowledge of the prime consultant’s and the sub-consultant’s previous performance. Provide three (3) client references each for both the prime and the sub-consultant(s). The references must include verified addresses, email addresses and telephone numbers, contact persons, and a brief description of services that have been provided similar to those described by SCDOT for this project. References shall be shown on separate sheets (limited to one (1) single-sided sheet; one sheet for the prime and one sheet for each sub proposed).
- c. Size and description of firms both Prime and Subs identified within the response to this proposal. Identify the needed resources, and how those resources will be secured for work that may be procured under this On-Call.

H. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS:

A selection committee comprised of three or more subject matter experts will be established by SCDOT to review the RFP responses and rank the firms. The selection committee will receive copies of each responsive RFP response submitted and will review and draft preliminary scores based on the selection criteria. The selection committee will then meet to discuss the RFP responses and determine if interviews are necessary. If interviews will not be conducted, members finalize their individual scores and submit them to the Contract Selection Officer (CO). If the selection committee determines it is necessary, interviews may be conducted. The selection committee will develop the format for the interviews which will be used to refine and fill in gaps from their preliminary scoring. Upon conclusion of the interviews, the committee will reconvene to discuss the interviews and finalize their individual scores.

Consultants are advised that the SCDOT may use all information provided by the consultant and information obtained from other sources in the assessment of past performance. Past performance information on contracts not listed by the consultant, or that of named subcontractors, may also be evaluated. SCDOT may contact references other than those identified by the consultant and information received may be used in the evaluation of the consultant’s past performance. While SCDOT may elect to consider information obtained from other sources, the burden of providing current, accurate, and complete past performance information rests with the consultant. The criteria shown below will determine the consultant’s qualification score.

The relative point value and selection criteria follow:

30%	Experience, qualifications, and technical competence of the staff proposed for the type of work required
25%	Responsiveness to the SCDOT, and the availability/readiness of the proposed staff.
20%	Familiarity of the firm/team with state transportation agency practices and procedures
15%	Past performance of the firm/team on similar type projects.
10%	DBE utilization plan.

* Note: An asterisk will be used to point out when special experience is essential within any of the above evaluation criterion. To address this, the consultant will need to provide specific projects to demonstrate this experience to include the client’s name, telephone number and email address, along with the specific role of the consultant’s team member.

I. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION:

The Contract Selection Officer (CO) will compile the finalized scores, rank the firms, and send the list of ranked firms to the CPO with a recommendation to begin contract negotiations with the highest ranked firms. The CPO may approve entering into contract negotiations with the top ranked firms, or reject the selection altogether (in which case the project may be re-solicited).

The intent is to enter into a contract with the top firms pending successful negotiations. All selected firms will be posted on the SCDOT website.

J. TIE BREAKER:

Final evaluation scores are determined from the average of the voting selection committee member's scores. Final scores will be rounded to the nearest whole number. In the event of a tie, the order of negotiation among the tied firms will be determined based on the value of contracts awarded during the previous 24-month period. The firm with the lowest dollar value of actual projects/tasks awarded during the period will be deemed the higher ranking firm of the tie.

K. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: SCDOT reserves the right to request or obtain additional information about any and all responses to the RFP.

AMENDMENT: Any amendment will be posted at the SCDOT website. The RFP may be amended at any time prior to the RFP response submittal date. All actual or prospective consultants should monitor the SCDOT website for issuance of amendments. Consultants shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this RFP (1) by signing and returning the amendment, (2) by letter; or (3) by submitting a RFP response that indicates in some way that the consultant received the amendment. If this RFP is amended, than all terms and conditions which are not modified remain unchanged. It is the consultant's responsibility to check the website regularly for updates and modifications.

AUDITS: Prior to contract award, an audit may be conducted by SCDOT of the selected consultant. This audit will be for the purpose of ensuring the selected firm is financially capable of performing the contract, the cost information and prices quoted are reasonable and the selected consultant had adequate accounting practices to ensure accurate tracking of contract costs.

AUTHORIZATION TO BEGIN WORK: No work shall commence until after contract execution for projects procured under this On-Call and issuance of a Notice to Proceed (NTP). Violations of NTP may result in non-payment of work performed, termination of an impending contract, or loss of federal funds, if applicable. Consultant billing shall not date prior to contract and/or modification of execution date.

AWARD: Basic Contracts will be awarded to each of the selected firms under this On-Call. Contracts for individual projects procured under this On-Call will be awarded from the list of firms awarded a Basic Contract. All selected firms and awards will be posted on SCDOT website.

CERTIFICATION FORMS: Submission forms located in 'Appendix A' of this document. All certifications must be completed, signed, and notarized and submitted with the RFP response for the prime consultant, any sub-consultants and any sub-contractors. Failure to submit these forms may result in the RFP response being deemed nonresponsive.

CLARIFICATIONS: SCDOT, at its sole discretion, shall have the right to seek clarifications from any consultant to fully understand information contained in their responses to the RFP.

COMMUNICATION: Effective the date of the advertisement of this contract, no further contact is allowed with any SCDOT personnel concerning this project except for questions of an administrative or contractual nature that shall be submitted in writing to the attention of the CO. This restriction is in effect until the selection has been announced. The employees of the proposing consult may not contact any SCDOT staff including members of the Selection Committee, other than the CO to obtain information on the RFP. Such contact may result in disqualification.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. SCDOT considers it a conflict of interest for a consultant to represent more than one party in relation to any given project regardless of which phases of the service are involved. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default. Consultant must complete and submit a DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION certifying it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant's pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (NEPA): For all Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Assessment (EA) consultant selections, a DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION will be required from each firm of the project team (prime and all sub-consultants). Consultants will be required to disclose any financial or other interest they may have in the outcome of the environmental document, in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality Regulation, 40 C.F.R. §1506.5(c) (1999). The DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION is included in the Appendix to this RFP.

COST RATE: Consultants and sub-consultants must have an SCDOT approved indirect cost rate prior to contract execution. Please refer to the following link for additional information:
http://www.scdot.org/doing/contractor_Audit.aspx.

DBE QUALIFICATION: To qualify as a DBE on this project, the firm must be listed as approved for the type of work to be performed in the South Carolina Unified DBE Directory at the time of the bid submittal. Consultants shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The directory can be found at the following link:
http://www.scdot.org/doing/doingPDFs/businessDevelop/UCP_DBEDirectory.pdf.

DBE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS: All executed contracts are subject to the provisions of the SCDOT DBE Program and 49 CFR Part 26. SCDOT is utilizing the DBE Quarterly Reports and DBE Status Spreadsheet as tracking tools. The DBE Quarterly Report reflects quarterly and total payments to date for all DBE firms. A separate DBE Quarterly Report must be submitted for each DBE firm for every active contracts even if no payments were made to DBEs during the specified reporting period. The DBE Status Spreadsheet reflects a summary of payments to all committed and non-committed DBE firms working on the project, and must be submitted along with the DBE Quarterly Reports.

DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION: Federal regulations require certification by prospective consultants as to current history regarding debarment, eligibility, indictments, convictions, or civil judgments. Consultant is required to submit the Debarment certification with its RFP response. Any individual, business, organization, corporation, consortium, partnership, joint venture, or any other entity including sub-consultants currently debarred or suspended is ineligible to participate as a candidate for this process. Any entity ineligible to conduct business in the State of South Carolina for any reason is ineligible to respond to the RFP. A CERTIFICATION OF PRIMARY PARTICIPANT REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS is included in the Appendix to this RFP.

DBE Utilization Plan: A plan for use of DBE firms on the advertised project. The plan should be specific to the project and should include the firms to be used and the type of work each will perform. An estimated percentage of work for each firm should be indicated.

DEBRIEFING: If a non-selected consultant would like to schedule a debriefing, consultant will have three (3) working days from the date of notification of non-selection. Only written requests (emails are acceptable) for a debriefing will be scheduled. If a consultant chooses to schedule a debriefing prior to contract signature, they can no longer be considered for award of this contract. However, the option exists to schedule a post-award debrief which will not jeopardize their opportunity for contract award should negotiations with the selected firm(s) prove unsuccessful.

DRUG FREE WORK PLACE CERTIFICATION: By submitting an RFP response, consultant certifies that, if awarded a contract, consultant will comply with all applicable provisions of the Drug-free Workplace Act, Title 44, Chapter 107 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended.

ETHICS CERTIFICATION: By submitting this RFP, the consultant certifies that the consultant has and will comply with South Carolina's Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - §8-13-790, 8-13-705, 8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - §8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - §8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - §8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - §8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - §8-13-755, §8-13-760 and §8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

FORMER AND CURRENT SCDOT EMPLOYEES: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee who was employed by SCDOT within 365 days of the submittal will be disqualified. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee may work on or invoice for direct services performed on this project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT.

INSURANCE and BONDS: Consultant is responsible to obtain all required statutory and contractual insurance and bonds, including but not limited to Professional liability insurance and Error and Omissions insurance, and shall be submitted to the SCDOT prior to execution of contract.

JOINT VENTURES: If consultant is a partnership, limited partnership, joint venture or other association (hereinafter joint venture), provide a copy of the organizational document or agreement committing to form the organization. Provide documentation from its surety company acknowledging the formation of a joint venture for the purpose of completing the project and that the joint venture is capable of obtaining a performance bond and payment bond in the estimated amount of the contract. Provide a statement executed by all general partners, joint venture members, or other association members, as applicable, evidencing agreement to be fully liable for the performance under the contract. Provide documentation evidencing the person signing the contract has authority to sign the contract on behalf of the joint venture. This information may be included in the appendices and will not be counted against the maximum page limitation. PROPOSERS are reminded that each individual joint venture partner must be prequalified with SCDOT prior to submission of the RFP response.

KEY INDIVIDUALS: Key individuals are those personnel deemed critical to the success of the project. They often vary from project to project. It is incumbent on the prime consultant to make a determination as to who they deem "key". In general terms, it can include, but not limited to: the project manager and those individuals listed as leads for each functionally specific discipline on the project organization chart. After the selection process any requested replacement of key individuals must be approved by SCDOT management. To qualify for SCDOT's authorization to replace a key individual, the firm must submit the resume and form 330 of the replacement, a written request explaining the reason for the change, and must document that the proposed removal and replacement will provide services and/or management of the Project equal to or better than that submitted with the proposal. SCDOT will use the criteria specified in the advertisement and the qualification submitted by the firm to evaluate all requests. SCDOT reserves the right to reject the replacement request. All changes in the team must be approved by SCDOT in writing.

KEY INDIVIDUAL, STAFF AND TEAM CHANGES DURING SELECTION PROCESS:

(A) Failure of the Prime Consultant to notify SCDOT of any changes in key personnel may render the Submitted for this Request for Proposals non-responsive and subject to rejection. SCDOT reserves the right to seek clarifications to confirm unavailability.

(B) If after submission of the Submitted for this Request for Proposals any key personnel become unavailable, the Prime Consultant shall notify SCDOT immediately of the unavailability and submit the name and resume of a replacement having equal or better qualifications.

(C) If the CO is notified by the consultant that key individuals are not available, action must be taken as follows: (1) if notified before scoring is complete, but after deadline for submittal, the consultant can submit the resume of the person who would be replacing the key individual. The selection committee will score using the new key individual; (2) if notified after the scoring is complete, but prior to final approval, and the change involves the top scoring consultant, the consultant can submit the resume of the person who will replace the key individual. The

selection committee must then determine if the new person would affect the selection results. If not, the CO will notate the change and the justification for keeping the selection results. If it does, the selection committee will re-score the top consultant and change the selection; or (3) if a consultant notifies the CO of a key individual change any time after the final approval, the SCDOT must determine if the new key individual is acceptable. If not, the consultant will be rejected and the next highest qualified consultant will be selected.

(D) To qualify for SCDOT's authorization to replace a key individual, the firm must submit the resume and form 330 of the replacement, a written request explaining the reason for the change and must document that the proposed removal and replacement will provide services and/or management of the Project equal to or better than that submitted with the proposal. SCDOT will use the criteria specified in the advertisement and the qualification submitted by the firm to evaluate all requests. SCDOT reserves the right to reject the firm from further consideration if the new member is not approved. All changes in the team must be approved by SCDOT in writing.

LAWS AND REGULATIONS: It is the responsibility of the consultant to know and understand state and federal contracting and project regulations, rules, policies and procedures. Consultants shall conform to all state and federal requirements.

LEGAL NAME: This is defined as an individual's formal name first, middle, and last name, (including maiden name if applicable). Nick names may be included as an addition to, but not a replacement of the formal, legal name.

MANAGER: The individual responsible for administering and providing project oversight. This position does not require a professional engineer's license by the state of South Carolina or a bachelor of science in engineering if the individual is deemed qualified based on level of experience.

MULTIPLE PROPOSALS: Consultants are prohibited from submitting on multiple proposals as the prime consultant in response to this advertisement.

OWNERSHIP: All materials and written qualifications submitted pursuant to this RFP shall become the property of SCDOT and will not be returned. All responders must visibly mark as "CONFIDENTIAL" each part of their submission that they consider to contain proprietary information the release of which would constitute an unreasonable invasion of privacy. All unmarked pages will be subject to release in accordance with law. Proposer should be prepared, upon request, to provide justification of why such materials should not be disclosed under the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act, S.C. Code Section 30-4-10, et seq.

PREPARATION OF RFP: Consultants and/or sub-consultants who assist the owner in the preparation of a RFP document will not be allowed to participate in this RFP or join a team submitting a proposal in response to the RFP. However, SCDOT may determine there is not an organizational conflict of interest for a consultant or sub-consultant where: (a) The role of the consultant or sub-consultant was limited to provision of preliminary design, reports, or similar "low-level" documents that will be incorporated into the RFP, and did not include assistance in development of instructions to proposer or evaluation criteria, or (b) Where all documents and reports delivered to the agency by the consultant or sub-consultant are made available to all consultants.

REQUIRED PERCENTAGE OF WORK FOR PRIME CONSULTANTS: Consultant must perform work valued at not less than 30% of the total work, excluding specialized services, with its own staff. Specialized services are those services or items not usually furnished by a consultant performing a particular type of services requested.

RESPONSIBLE: Award of the contract will be to firms who have the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements and the integrity and reliability which will assure good faith performance which may be substantiated by past performance.

RESPONSIVENESS: Any RFP response which fails to conform to the material requirements of the RFP may be rejected as nonresponsive. Reasons for determining a proposal to be non-responsive may result from, but are not limited to, the following: failure to provide all information requested in RFP, conflict of interests, conditional proposals, and failure to provide complete and honest information. Proposers will not be given an opportunity to correct any material nonconformity. Any deficiency resulting from a minor informality may be clarified or waived at the sole discretion of the SCDOT.

RFP PREPARATION COSTS: SCDOT assumes no liability and will not reimburse costs incurred by firms (whether selected or not) in developing responses to this RFP or participating in interviews.

RIGHT TO MODIFY and AMEND RFP: SCDOT reserves the right to modify or amend any provision of this RFP, including the determination of its intent to award a contract pursuant to this RFP. Interested engineering consultants are cautioned to rely solely on the contents of this RFP and subsequent written amendments in preparing any list of qualifications. SCDOT shall not be bound by any oral instructions, comments, or recommendations of any kind.

RIGHT TO REJECT: SCDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any and all RFP responses if its determines that such rejection is in the best interest of the State

RIGHT TO CANCEL: SCDOT reserves the right to cancel the advertisement, negotiations, or contract at any time in the best interest of the State.

TERMS OF CONTRACT: The boilerplates terms for all SCDOT contracts are non-negotiable.

VALIDITY OF INFORMATION: Consultant shall be held responsible for the validity of all information supplied in its proposal, including that provided by potential subcontractors. Should subsequent investigation disclose that the facts and conditions were not as stated, the proposal may be rejected or contract terminated for default if after award, in addition to any other remedy available under the contract or by law.

APPENDIX A - REQUIRED FORMS

The following completed forms are required to be returned with each proposal:

- Certificate Of Non-Collusion
- Certification Of Primary Participant Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Sub-Consultant/Sub-Contractor Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Restrictions On Lobbying
- Certification Of Consultant
- Disclosure Of Potential Conflict Of Interest Certification

CERTIFICATE OF NON-COLLUSION

By submission of proposal, each person signing on behalf of any consultant certifies, and in the case of a joint proposal, each party thereto certifies as to its own organization, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of knowledge and belief:

- 1) The proposal is submitted without collusion, consultation, communication, or agreement for the purpose of restricting competition, with any other bidder or with any competitor;
- 2) No attempt has been made or will be made by the proposer to induce any other person, partnership or corporation to submit or not to submit a proposal for the purpose of restricting competition.

Company Name

Authorized Signature

Date

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me
this _____ day of _____, 201____.

My commission expires _____.

Notary Public

CERTIFICATION OF PRIMARY PARTICIPANT REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

The prime consultant, _____ certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

- 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
- 2) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or Local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- 3) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (2) of this certification; and
- 4) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or Local) terminated for cause or default.

If the prime consultant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, the consultant shall attach an explanation to this certification.

The primary consultant, _____ certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statements submitted on or with this certification and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Sections 3801 et seq, are applicable thereto.

Signature and Title of Authorized Official

Date

CERTIFICATION OF SUB-CONSULTANT / SUB-CONTRACTOR REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

The sub-consultant/contractor, _____ certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

- 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
- 2) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or Local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- 3) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (2) of this certification; and
- 4) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or Local) terminated for cause or default.

If the prime consultant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, the consultant shall attach an explanation to this certification.

The sub- consultant/contractor, _____ certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statements submitted on or with this certification and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Sections 3801 et seq, are applicable thereto.

Signature and Title of Authorized Official

Date

CERTIFICATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON LOBBYING

The consultant certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that:

1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of a Federal department or agency, a Member of the U.S. Congress, an officer or employee of the U.S. Congress, or an employee of a Member of the U.S. Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification thereof.

2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for making lobbying contacts to an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form--LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying", in accordance with its instructions (as amended by "Government wide Guidance for New Restrictions on Lobbying," 61 Fed. Reg. 1413 (1/19/96). Note: Language in paragraph (2) herein has been modified in accordance with Section 10 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-65, to be codified at 2 U.S.C. 1601, et seq.)).

3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontracts, sub-grants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by 31, U.S.C. § 1352 (as amended by the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995). Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

THE CONSULTANT, _____, CERTIFIES OR AFFIRMS THE TRUTHFULNESS AND ACCURACY OF EACH STATEMENT OF ITS CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY. IN ADDITION, THE CONSULANT UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 31 U.S.C. §§ 3801 ET SEQ. APPLY TO THIS CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY.

_____ Signature of the consultant’s Authorized Official

_____ Name and Title of the consultant’s Authorized Official

_____ Date

CERTIFICATION OF CONSULTANT

I hereby certify that I am the duly authorized representative of CONSULTANT and that neither I nor the above CONSULTANT I here represent has:

- a) employed or retained for a commission, percentage, brokerage, contingent fee, or other consideration, any firm or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) to solicit or secure this contract;
- b) agreed, as an express or implied condition for obtaining this contract, to employ or retain the services of any firm or person in connection with carrying out the contract, or
- c) paid, or agreed to pay, to any firm, organization or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) any fee, contribution, donation, or consideration of any kind for, or in connection with, procuring or carrying out the contract except as here expressly stated (if any);
- d) either directly or indirectly, entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action, in restraint of free competitive bidding in connection with the submitted proposal.

By execution of this Agreement, CONSULTANT certifies CONSULTANT and all sub-consultants, contractors, employees and agents will comply with South Carolina’s Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - §8-13-790, 8-13-705, 8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - §8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - §8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - §8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - §8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - §8-13-755, §8-13-760 and §8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

Consultant hereby certifies that it has, to the best of its knowledge and belief determined that any former SCDOT employees that are identified as key individuals on this Project are in compliance with the S.C. Ethics Act, specifically, Sections 8-13-755 and 8-13-760.

I acknowledge that this certificate is to be furnished to the Department, the Federal Highway Administration, and the U. S. Department of Transportation, and is subject to applicable State and Federal laws, both criminal and civil.

CONSULTANT

Name of Consultant

By: _____

Date: _____

Its: _____

DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. SCDOT considers it a conflict of interest for a consultant to represent more than one party in relation to any given project regardless of which phases of the service are involved. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default.

The Consultant by signing this disclosure, certifies it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant’s pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

The Consultant, by signing this disclosure, further certifies that it is in compliance with the FORMER AND CURRENT SCDOT EMPLOYEES policy: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee who was employed by SCDOT within 365 days of the submittal will be disqualified. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee may work on or invoice for direct services performed on this project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT.

Consultant hereby indicates that it has, to the best of its knowledge and belief has:

_____ Determined that no potential organizational conflict of interest exists.

_____ Determined a potential organizational conflict of interest as follows:

Attach additional sheets as necessary.

- 1. Describe nature of the potential conflict(s):

- 2. Describe measures proposed to mitigate the potential conflict(s):

Signature

Date

Print Name

Company

If a potential conflict has been identified, please provide name and phone number for a contact person authorized to discuss this disclosure certification with Department of Transportation contract personnel.

Name

Phone

Company

As of 4/22/16

