



NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTING ENGINEERING FIRMS

Solicitation Number: S-224-18 – On-Call Utility Coordination Services

The **SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT)** requests a letter of interest and a proposal containing qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing **Utility Coordination Services** on an on-call basis necessary to provide support to SCDOT Staff on various projects. The **CONSULTANT** shall have the responsibility of coordinating the Project development with all utilities that may be affected. All utility relocations shall be handled in accordance with the SCDOT's "A Policy for Accommodating Utilities on Highway Rights of Way" and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Chapter 1, Subchapter G, part 645, subparts A & B.

Request for services that the project team should be capable of providing may include but are not limited to the following:

1. Early Utility Coordination
 - a. Project Preliminary Review, Utility Introduction Letter, Utility Record Collection and Review, Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies, SUE Mapping Recommendation, Approximate Utility Relocation Cost, Utility Clearance Separation Values.
2. Preliminary Utility Coordination
 - a. Initial Plan Distribution, Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies, Collection and Review of Prior Right Documentation, Preliminary Utility Report and Progress Review Meeting with SCDOT.
3. Final Utility Coordination
 - a. Relocation Drawing Request, Utility Agreement Collection and Review, Final Utility Report, Utility Conflict Matrix, Final assessment and explanation of the Project impact to each utility company, Recommendation for approval of the Final Utility Agreements and Relocation Drawings, Verification of no conflict of the Final Utility Agreements - Relocation Drawings – Project Design, Final estimated utility relocation cost.
4. Utility Relocation Plan Sheets
 - a. Prepare and maintain a compilation of all utility relocation plans on one set of the project plans.
5. Constructability Review Meeting
 - a. Plan and conduct a Constructability Review Meeting with all utility companies in order to discuss any conflicts with proposed utility relocations vs. roadway construction work and any conflicts between various utilities.
6. Utility Relocation Coordination
 - a. Document Review, Preconstruction Meeting, Utility-Project Status Meetings, Respond to Requests for Information concern the projects utility relocation plan via email, telephone, written, etc. |

These services will be provided under a ~~three (3)~~ year statewide On-Call contract on an "as needed" basis. The SCDOT will select up to ~~eight (8)~~ firms to provide these services, with a total maximum On-Call amount for the ~~three (3)~~ year period not to exceed \$6,000,000.00 to be distributed among the selected firms. Consultants will be evaluated and ranked based on their score during the selection process. Work under this on-call will be assigned based on the consultant's qualifications for the project being assigned for an individual task order/work order. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

RFP information associated with this solicitation is located at the following link: http://info.scdot.org/SCDOTProfessionalServ/SitePages/constructionLetting_Services.aspx#tabs-5
For questions, please contact the SCDOT Contracting Officer, Wendy Hollingsworth at (803) 737-0746 or via email at Hollingswg@scdot.org. or Diane Stubbs at (803) 737-4901 or via email at Stubbsdg@scdot.org. Electronic Submissions are due no later than 2:00 PM, December 7, 2017.

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

AGENCY	South Carolina Department Of Transportation (SCDOT)
DESCRIPTION:	On-Call Utility Coordination Services
SOLICITATION NUMBER	S-224-18
ADVERTISEMENT DATE	November 16, 2017
CONTRACTS OFFICER (CO)	Wendy Hollingsworth (803) 737-0746 or Diane Stubbs (803)737-4901
SUBMIT YOUR RFP TO:	All electronic proposal submissions and the letter of interest should be submitted via ProjectWise electronic submittal system no later than 2:00 PM (EST), December 7, 2017. No proposals shall be accepted after the date and time specified.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST
- B. SCOPE OF SERVICE
- C. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT
- D. SUBMITTAL FORMAT
- E. PROPOSAL CONTENT
- F. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS
- G. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION
- H. TIE BREAKER
- I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS
- J. APPENDIX – REQUIRED FORMS

A. PURPOSE OF REQUEST:

The **SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (SCDOT)** requests a letter of interest and a proposal containing qualifications from all interested consulting firms experienced in providing **Utility Coordination Services** on an on-call basis necessary to provide support to SCDOT Staff on various projects. The **CONSULTANT** shall have the responsibility of coordinating the Project development with all utilities that may be affected. All utility relocations shall be handled in accordance with the SCDOT's "A Policy for Accommodating Utilities on Highway Rights of Way" and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Chapter 1, Subchapter G, part 645, subparts A & B.

Request for services that the project team should be capable of providing may include but are not limited to the following:

1. Early Utility Coordination
 - a. Project Preliminary Review, Utility Introduction Letter, Utility Record Collection and Review, Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies, SUE Mapping Recommendation, Approximate Utility Relocation Cost, Utility Clearance Separation Values.

2. Preliminary Utility Coordination
 - a. Initial Plan Distribution, Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies, Collection and Review of Prior Right Documentation, Preliminary Utility Report and Progress Review Meeting with SCDOT.
3. Final Utility Coordination
 - a. Relocation Drawing Request, Utility Agreement Collection and Review, Final Utility Report, Utility Conflict Matrix, Final assessment and explanation of the Project impact to each utility company, Recommendation for approval of the Final Utility Agreements and Relocation Drawings, Verification of no conflict of the Final Utility Agreements - Relocation Drawings – Project Design, Final estimated utility relocation cost.
4. Utility Relocation Sheets
 - a. Prepare and maintain a compilation of all utility relocation plans on one set of the project plans.
5. Constructability Review Meeting
 - a. Plan and conduct a Constructability Review Meeting with all utility companies in order to discuss any conflicts with proposed utility relocations vs. roadway construction work and any conflicts between various utilities.
6. Utility Relocation Coordination
 - a. Document Review, Preconstruction Meeting, Utility-Project Status Meetings, Respond to Requests for Information concern the projects utility relocation plan via email, telephone, written, etc.

These services will be provided under a three (3) year statewide On-Call contract on an “as needed” basis. The SCDOT will select up to eight (8) firms to provide these services, with a total maximum On-Call amount for the three (3) year period not to exceed \$6,000,000.00 to be distributed among the selected firms. Consultants will be evaluated and ranked based on their score during the selection process. Work under this on-call will be assigned based on the consultant’s qualifications for the project being assigned for an individual task order/work order. The project team should be capable of providing all services outlined above.

SCDOT will use a one-step evaluation process to select a consultant with which to execute a contract for this Project. After evaluating the submitted RFP, the Selection Committee will recommend the top-ranking firms in order to the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO). The Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) will have final approval. The determination regarding how many Proposers to recommend is not subject to review or protest. Cost is NOT a factor in the ranking of consultants to provide services herein. DO NOT include any reference to consultant costs in the RFP response. Any RFP response with any discussion of cost will be disqualified. Consultants are advised that this evaluation and selection process is a competition and not simply a prequalification. Note that any Task Order, or Work Order issued under this On-Call will utilize one of the following methods of compensation: cost plus fixed fee with a contract maximum, specific rates of compensation/unit rates with a contract maximum, or lump sum.

NOTES:

1. Work awarded under this solicitation includes projects that are being administered by SCDOT and funded by other governmental entities pursuant to an IGA or LPA agreement between the SCDOT and the governmental entity. In those cases, a separate agreement between that governmental entity and the consultant will be prepared and administered by SCDOT to cover the services.
2. SCDOT may elect to procure services similar or identical to those outlined in this RFP through small purchase programs (23 CFR 172.7), even after firms are selected for this RFP. In such cases the total contract costs will not exceed the Federal simplified acquisition threshold (48 CFR 2.101). Firms selected for this RFP will be eligible to respond to small purchase solicitations.

B. SCOPE OF SERVICE:

General Responsibilities and Duties may include but are not limited to:

The **CONSULTANT** shall have the responsibility of coordinating the Project development with all utilities that may be affected. All utility relocations shall be handled in accordance with the **SCDOT’s** “A Policy for Accommodating Utilities on Highway Rights of Way” and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Chapter 1, Subchapter G, part 645, subparts A & B.

- These services shall be performed by individuals skilled and experienced in utility coordination services.
- The **CONSULTANT** shall work with designers of the Project to avoid conflicts with utilities where possible, and minimize impacts where conflicts cannot be avoided. This may include, but is not limited to, utilizing all available utility data, whether obtained from SUE services, as-built plans, or provided by the **SCDOT** or some other source. The **CONSULTANT** will be expected to determine all utility conflict points, including all work to properly analyze each conflict point, and make recommendations for resolution of the conflict where possible.
- The utility company shall not begin their relocation work until authorized in writing by the **SCDOT**.
- The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare and maintain a Utility Conflict Matrix (UCM) in order to track each utility within the project limits during the life of the Project.

1.1 Early Utility Coordination:

- 1.1.1 **Project Preliminary Review:** The **CONSULTANT** shall coordinate with the **SCDOT** Project Manager to collect and review available project plans and the proposed scope of construction.
- 1.1.2 **Utility Introduction Letter:** The **CONSULTANT** shall develop a Utility Introduction Letter for each utility company. This letter shall be populated by the **CONSULTANT** with the utility company's information (to include the company's email address) and electronically sent to the **SCDOT** State Utility Engineer for signature and mailing.
- 1.1.3 **Utility Record Collection and Review:** The **CONSULTANT** shall initiate early coordination with all utility companies that are located within the Project limits. Coordination shall include, but shall not be limited to, contacting each utility company to advise the company of the proposed Project, obtaining copies of as-built plans for the existing utility facilities (if available), perform a review of utility as-built plans and determine the utility company's requirements for the relocation of their facilities.
- 1.1.4 **Site Visit:** The **CONSULTANT** shall perform a site visit for a visual inventory of existing utilities within the proposed project limits. If it is determined by the **SCDOT** that an in-depth SUE mapping investigation will not be performed, the **CONSULTANT** is encouraged to use the "One Call" design ticket service provided by SC811 prior to their Site Visit.
- 1.1.5 **Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies:** The **CONSULTANT** shall coordinate and conduct a preliminary review meeting with the utility companies (if deemed necessary) for the completion of Early Utility Coordination.
- 1.1.6 **SUE Mapping Recommendation:** The **CONSULTANT** shall develop a SUE recommendation for the project dependent on the information gathered and the projected level of Utility Coordination expected for the Project as directed by the **SCDOT** Project Manager.
- 1.1.7 **Approximate Utility Relocation Cost Estimate:** The **CONSULTANT** shall determine the approximate utility relocation costs for each individual utility company based on the proposed scope of construction. The purpose of this estimate is to provide an overall approximate cost to the **SCDOT** Project Manager so as to determine the feasibility of the project as a whole.
- 1.1.8 **Utility Clearance Separation Values:** The **CONSULTANT** shall determine the minimum vertical separation values required by each utility. These values will provide the **SCDOT** Project Manager vertical clearance design criteria during preliminary project development.
- 1.1.9 **Early Utility Coordination Email:** The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare the Early Utility Coordination Email. Email to be used as an informal summary of the Early Utility Coordination tasks.

Early Utility Coordination Deliverables

The CONSULTANT shall prepare and submit to the Department an **Early Utility Coordination Email** which includes:

1. List of all utility companies and contact information within the project limits.
2. Utility Introduction Letter (Required).
3. Early assessment of each Utility Company's facilities located within project limits.
4. Site Visit documentation (notes, photographs, drawings, etc.).
5. Utility Companies Coordination Meeting Notes.
6. SUE Mapping Recommendation
7. Utility Records
8. Approximate Utility Relocation Cost.
9. Utility Clearance Separation Values.

1.2 Preliminary Utility Coordination:

- 1.2.1 **Initial Plan Distribution** : The **CONSULTANT** shall provide the utility company with preliminary design plans as soon as the plans have reached a level of completeness adequate to allow the company to begin understanding the Project impacts.
- 1.2.2 **Coordination Meeting with Utility Companies:** The **CONSULTANT** shall coordinate and conduct a review meeting with the utility companies to assess and explain the impact of the Project to the company. The SCDOT's Project Manager, Resident Construction Engineer (RCE), and Utilities Manager (or designee) shall be included in this meeting.
- 1.2.3 **Collection and Review of Prior Right Documentation:** The **CONSULTANT** shall request the prior rights documents for each utility company's facilities. If there is a dispute over prior rights with a utility, the **CONSULTANT** shall be responsible for resolving the dispute and making a recommendation to the **SCDOT**. The **CONSULTANT** shall meet with the **SCDOT's** Project Manager to present the prior rights information gathered. This information must be sufficient for the **SCDOT's** Project Manager to certify the extent of the utility company's prior rights. The SCDOT shall have final approval authority as to the **CONSULTANT's** determination of whether the utility company has prior rights.
- 1.2.4 **Preliminary Utility Report:** The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare the Preliminary Utility Report.
- 1.2.5 **Progress Review Meeting:** The **CONSULTANT** shall conduct a progress review meeting with the **SCDOT** Project Manager.

Preliminary Utility Report Deliverables

The CONSULTANT shall prepare and submit to the Department a **Preliminary Utility Report** which includes:

1. List of all utility companies and contact information within the project limits.
2. Utility Company Coordination Meeting Notes.
3. Preliminary recommendation as to the extent of each utility company's prior rights.
4. Preliminary assessment of the impact to each utility company, including costs, as can best be determined at the time.
5. Recommendations for In-Contract Utility Relocations.
6. Recommendations for early Utility Relocations prior to the start of construction.
7. Preliminary Utility Report to be delivered in an electronic format (pdf).

1.3 Final Utility Coordination:

- 1.3.1 **Relocation Drawing Request:** The **CONSULTANT** shall request each utility company to provide a Relocation Drawing of their affected utilities. The utility company may use the **SCDOTS** design plans for preparing Relocation Drawings. These plans shall

contain all available data that may be helpful to the utility company in assessing the utility impact. If a party other than the utility company or its agent prepares Relocation Drawings, there shall be a concurrence box on the plans where the utility company signs and accepts the Relocation Drawings as shown.

- 1.3.2 **Utility Agreement Collection:** The **CONSULTANT** shall be responsible for collecting the following from each utility company that is located within the project limits: **Relocation Drawings** including **letter of “no cost”** where the company does not have a prior right; **Utility Agreements** including cost estimate and relocation plans where the company has a prior right; and **Letters of “no conflict”** where the company’s facilities will not be impacted by the Project.
- 1.3.3 **Utility Agreement Review:** The **CONSULTANT** shall review all Relocation Drawings and Utility Agreements to ensure that relocations comply with the SCDOT’s “A Policy for Accommodating Utilities on Highway Rights of Way” and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Chapter 1, Subchapter G, part 645, subparts A and B. The **CONSULTANT** shall also ensure that there are no conflicts with the proposed highway improvements, and ensure that there are no conflicts between each of the utility company’s relocation plans.
- 1.3.4 **Final Utility Report:** The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare the Final Utility Report.
- 1.3.5 **Utility Conflict Matrix:** The **CONSULTANT** shall complete the Final Utility Conflict Matrix.

Final Utility Report Deliverables

The **CONSULTANT** is expected to assemble the information included in the Utility Agreements and Relocation Drawings in a final and complete form and in such a manner that the Department may approve the submittals with minimal review. Each Utility Agreement and Relocation Drawing submitted must be accompanied by a certification from the **CONSULTANT** stating that the proposed relocation will not conflict with the proposed highway improvement and will not conflict with another utility company’s relocation plan. The report shall also contain the **CONSULTANT’s** recommendation for approval of the Utility Agreements and Relocation Drawings and the **CONSULTANT’s** recommendation that, from a utilities standpoint, the Project is ready to be let to contract. The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare and submit to the Department a Final Utility Report that includes:

1. List of all utility companies and contact information within the project limits
2. Utility Companies Coordination Meeting Notes
3. All prior rights supporting documentation.
4. Description of each utility company’s relocation plans
5. Final assessment and explanation of the Project impact to each utility company.
6. Relocation Drawings
7. Letters of “No Cost”
8. Utility Agreements
9. Letters of “No Conflict”
10. Recommendation for approval of the Final Utility Agreements and Relocation Drawings
11. Verification of no conflict of the Final Utility Agreements, Relocation Drawings and the Project
12. Final estimated utility relocation cost
13. Utility Conflict Matrix

1.4 U-Sheets:

- 1.4.1 **U-Sheets:** The **CONSULTANT** shall prepare and maintain a compilation of all utility relocation plans on one set of the project plans. These plans (U-sheets) will be used

during the project development, and the final set may be included in the bid documentation for information only and will reference the actual relocation plans prepared by the utility.

U-Sheet Deliverables

1. U-Sheets

1.5 Constructability Review Meeting

1.5.1 **Constructability Review Meeting:** The **CONSULTANT** shall plan and conduct a Constructability Review Meeting with all utility companies in order to discuss any conflicts with proposed utility relocations vs. roadway construction work and any conflicts between various utilities. The State Utility Engineer, Program Manager, Resident Construction Engineer (RCE), Designer of Record and the District Utility Engineer shall be invited to the meeting.

1.6 Utility Relocation Coordination

1.6.1 **Document Review:** The **CONSULTANT** shall review all documents necessary to perform the Utility Relocation Coordination service. The **CONSULTANT** is to convey a clear understanding to the **SCDOT**, utility companies and the Contractor as to each utility company's agreed relocation plan including estimated cost and schedule.

1.6.1 **Preconstruction Meeting:** The **CONSULTANT** shall attend the Preconstruction Meeting(s) (at the request of the **SCDOT**) with **SCDOT** personnel, utility companies and the Contractor to report the current status, schedule and utility relocation plan as described in the Final Utility Report.

1.6.1 **Utility–Project Status Meeting(s):** The **CONSULTANT** shall attend the Utility-Project Status meeting(s) at the request of the **SCDOT**.

1.6.1 **Respond to Request(s):** The **CONSULTANT** shall respond to request(s) made via email, telephone, written, etc. by the **SCDOT**, utility companies and/or the Contractor. |

A more detailed scope of services will be determined on a project by project basis as they are assigned under a work order or task order.

C. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT:

This is a qualifications-based selection and the contract will be competitively negotiated. Awards will be given to the most qualified of the responsible and responsive consultant firms. This qualification-based selection is based on the Brooks Act (40 U.S.C. 11).

D. SUBMITTAL FORMAT:

All responding firms must utilize ProjectWise, SCDOT's electronic proposal submission process. Submitted proposal documents that are uploaded into ProjectWise should be named with the following format: S-224-18_RespondingFirmName.pdf.

Please contact Eric Stuckey at 803-737-1003 or StuckeyEC@scdot.org to set up an account to begin utilizing the electronic submittal process. Consultants are REQUIRED to upload their submittals online through ProjectWise. Only one completed submittal per team will be accepted and shall be uploaded by lead consulting firm. Please be advised of the time required to set up new account. All requests for new accounts must be received 72 hours prior to the proposal deadline indicated in the milestone schedule.

Information regarding ProjectWise can be found at:

http://www.scdot.org/doing/constructionLetting_ProjectWise.aspx.

In the event that a consultant is unable to submit its RFP response through ProjectWise, the consultant shall contact the CO to request authorization to submit the RFP response in another format. RFP responses which do not have prior SCDOT authorization to deviate from the ProjectWise format may be considered non-responsive.

All questions regarding the scope of work in the RFP must be submitted by e-mail to the CO or as directed in the RFP. Questions shall be received a minimum of five (5) business days prior to the date and time that the proposal is due unless otherwise stated in the RFP. No further questions shall be accepted after that time specified. SCDOT will strive to place all questions and their answers as an amendment on the SCDOT website. The names of the consultant/vendors submitting questions will not be disclosed. Oral explanations or instructions will not be binding.

RFP formatting requirements: The response shall contain no more than twenty-five (25) double spaced pages with normal one (1) inch margins, typed on one side only, excluding appendices. Minimum font size shall be 12-point, and the response document page size shall be standard 8.5 inches x 11 inches. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. True tables (not bordered text boxes) may be single spaced with a minimum font size of 10-point. Photo captions and other text that are not part of the narrative paragraphs and tables do not have font limitations. If the response does not conform to these requirements, the proposal may be negatively scored.

The RFP response must be submitted by the date and time listed in this RFP.

Responses also must address each of the following proposal content requirements in the same order as listed below. The consultant may wish to include additional information. If a consultant does not submit responses to these items, their submittal may be considered non-responsive and returned without further review/evaluation. Consultants are advised that SCDOT reserves the right to conduct an independent investigation of any information, including prior experiences, identified in the responses. Consultants are responsible for effecting delivery by the deadline date and time; late submissions will be rejected without opening. SCDOT accepts no responsibility for misdirected or lost proposals. Responses shall be explained and identified within the twenty-five (25) pages. Appendices may only be used to support or supplement the detailed answers, but cannot be used as a substitute for the required narrative response.

E. PROPOSAL CONTENT:

1. Letter of Interest:

- a. The letter of interest does not count toward the twenty-five (25) pages of the body of the submitted proposal.
- b. The Letter of Interest should be no longer than two (2) page and shall contain the following items:
 - i. An expression of the Prime Consultant's interest in being selected for the project.
 - ii. A statement confirming the commitment of key personnel identified in the submittal to the extent necessary to meet SCDOT's quality and schedule expectations.
 - iii. Provide the email address and the legal name (first, middle and last, (including maiden name, if appropriate)) of the Prime Consultant Principal, Officer of the Firm or Project Manager responsible for this contract and has authority to sign the contract for consultant.
 - iv. A summary of key points regarding the Prime Consultant's qualifications.
 - v. Signing the letter of interest constitutes authorization of consultant to submit qualification for the purpose of negotiating and entering a contract with SCDOT.
 - vi. Certification of authorized submitter that information contained within is correct by including: "I certify that the information included within this document, is to the best of my knowledge, correct as of the date indicated".

2. **Project Organization Chart:** - Limited to one (1) side of one sheet of paper. The organizational chart may be on a larger paper size up to 11 inches x 17 inches. This chart must include the legal names (first, middle, maiden if applicable, and last) of the key individuals selected for this On-Call, their roles and the names of the consultant by which they are employed, the lines of communication and functional structure. It must also include the levels of management and reporting relationships for the key Individuals, along with their major functions to be performed in managing and designing projects that may be procured under this On-Call. It shall also indicate the individuals who will be points of contact with the SCDOT Project Manager. **The organizational chart must clearly display any DBE firm(s) that will be utilized to meet the contract goal.**
3. **Legal names** (first, middle and last, (including maiden name, if appropriate)) **and qualifications for key individuals and all other individuals that are considered critical to the success of work that may be procured under this On-Call.** Qualifications should include information on experience related to similar projects and previous project work. **Note: if the legal name is included on the organizational chart that will fulfill the legal name requirement.**
4. **Legal names** (first, middle and last, (including maiden name, if appropriate)) **for all other individuals** identified for the proposal that have not been identified on the organizational chart.
5. A **direct response to each of the selection criteria** identified in section F.
6. The consultant must provide a **chart indicating the present workload of key personnel** and other individuals considered critical to the success of projects procured under this On-Call. This chart is to include all active projects (concurrent projects with other entities such as cities, other state agencies, counties, COGs MPOs, private sector) and their availability for this project.
7. **Appendices:**
 - a. Standard Form 330 (SF 330) as required by the Federal Acquisitions Regulations. All parts of the SF 330 must be completed in its entirety for the prime consultant, any sub-consultants and any sub-contractors. Also, indicate if the prime consultant has previously worked with the proposed sub-consultant and give a brief example of the previous relationship(s). The SF 330s will not count against the maximum page limit and can be included in the appendices.
 - b. Provide a list of References who have personal knowledge of the prime consultant's and the sub-consultant's previous performance. Provide three (3) client references each for both the prime and the sub-consultant(s). The references must include verified addresses, email addresses and telephone numbers, contact persons, and a brief description of services that have been provided similar to those described by SCDOT for this project. References shall be shown on separate sheets (limited to one (1) single-sided sheet; one sheet for the prime and one sheet for each sub proposed).
 - c. Size and description of the submitting prime consulting firm. Identify the needed resources specific to this project and how those resources will be secured for the project.

F. SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS:

A selection committee comprised of subject matter experts will be established by SCDOT to review the RFP responses and rank the firms. The selection committee will receive copies of each responsive RFP response submitted and will review and draft preliminary scores based on the selection criteria. The selection committee will then meet to discuss the RFP responses and determine if interviews are necessary. If interviews will not be conducted, members finalize their individual scores and submit them to the Contract Selection Officer (CO). If the selection committee determines it is necessary, interviews may be conducted. The selection committee will develop the format for the interviews which will be used to refine and fill in gaps from their preliminary scoring. Upon conclusion of the interviews, the committee will reconvene to discuss the interviews and finalize their individual scores.

Consultants are advised that the SCDOT may use all information provided by the consultant and information obtained from other sources in the assessment of past performance. Past performance information on contracts not listed by the consultant, or that of named subcontractors, may also be evaluated. SCDOT may contact references other than those identified by the consultant and information received may be used in the evaluation of the consultant's past performance. While SCDOT may elect to consider information obtained from other sources, the burden of providing current, accurate, and complete past performance information rests with the consultant. The criteria with relative point value shown below will be the basis for the submitted proposal evaluation and score.

50%	Experience, qualifications, and technical competence of the staff proposed for the type of work required.
20%	Past performance of the firm/team on similar type projects.
10%	Availability of proposed staff and ability to be responsive to SCDOT requirements.
10%	Team composition.
5%	Familiarity of the firm/team with SCDOT practices and procedures.
5%	DBE utilization plan.

* Note: An asterisk will be used to point out when special experience is essential within any of the above evaluation criterion. To address this, the consultant will need to provide specific projects to demonstrate this experience to include the client's name, telephone number and email address, along with the specific role of the consultant's team member.

G. FINAL SELECTION AND NOTIFICATION:

The Contract Selection Officer (CO) will compile the finalized scores, rank the firms, and send the list of ranked firms to the CPO with a recommendation to begin contract negotiations with the highest ranked firms. The CPO may approve entering into contract negotiations with the top ranked firms, or reject the selection altogether (in which case the project may be re-solicited). The intent is to enter into a contract with the top firms pending successful negotiations. All selected firms will be posted on the SCDOT website.

H. TIE BREAKER:

Final evaluation scores are determined from the average of the voting selection committee member's scores. Final scores will be rounded to the nearest whole number. In the event of a tie, the order of negotiation among the tied firms will be determined based on the value of contracts awarded during the previous 24-month period. The firm with the lowest dollar value of actual projects/tasks awarded during the period will be deemed the higher ranking firm of the tie.

I. INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSULTANTS:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: SCDOT reserves the right to request or obtain additional information about any and all responses to the RFP.

AMENDMENT: Any amendment will be posted at the SCDOT website. The RFP may be amended at any time prior to the RFP response submittal date. All actual or prospective consultants should monitor the SCDOT website for issuance of amendments. Consultants shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this RFP (1) by signing and returning the amendment, (2) by letter; or (3) by submitting a RFP response that indicates in some way that the consultant received the amendment. If this RFP is amended, than all terms and conditions which are not modified remain unchanged. It is the consultant's responsibility to check the website regularly for updates and modifications.

AUDITS: Prior to contract award, an audit may be conducted by SCDOT of the selected consultant. This audit will be for the purpose of ensuring the selected firm is financially capable of performing the contract, the cost information and prices quoted are reasonable and the selected consultant had adequate accounting practices to ensure accurate tracking of contract costs.

AUTHORIZATION TO BEGIN WORK: No work shall commence until after contract execution for projects procured under this On-Call and issuance of a Notice to Proceed (NTP). Violations of NTP may result in non-payment of work performed, termination of an impending contract, or loss of federal funds, if applicable. Consultant billing shall not date prior to contract and/or modification of execution date.

AWARD: Basic Contracts will be awarded to each of the selected firms under this On-Call. Contracts for individual projects procured under this On-Call will be awarded from the list of firms awarded a Basic Contract. All selected firms and awards will be posted on SCDOT website.

CEI CONFLICT: no member of the consulting firm, its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, shall be selected for CEI services on a design build project if they are part of the design build team. If a sub-consultant is on the design build team, the CEI consultant candidate shall request approval from SCDOT to replace the conflicting sub-consultant prior to CEI contracting in accordance with the **KEY INDIVIDUAL, STAFF AND TEAM CHANGES AFTER AWARD OF DESIGN BUILD CONTRACT:**

CERTIFICATION FORMS: Submission forms located in 'Appendix A' of this document. All certifications must be completed, signed, and notarized and submitted with the RFP response for the prime consultant, any sub-consultants and any sub-contractors. Failure to submit these forms may result in the RFP response being deemed nonresponsive.

CLARIFICATIONS: SCDOT, at its sole discretion, shall have the right to seek clarifications from any consultant to fully understand information contained in their responses to the RFP.

COMMUNICATION: Effective the date of the advertisement of this contract, no further contact is allowed with any SCDOT personnel concerning this project except for questions of an administrative or contractual nature that shall be submitted in writing to the attention of the CO. This restriction is in effect until the selection has been announced. The employees of the proposing consult may not contact any SCDOT staff including members of the Selection Committee, other than the CO to obtain information on the RFP. Such contact may result in disqualification.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. SCDOT considers it a conflict of interest for a consultant to represent more than one party in relation to any given project regardless of which phases of the service are involved. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default. Consultant must complete and submit a **DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION** certifying it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant's pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (NEPA): For all Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Assessment (EA) consultant selections, a **DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION** will be required from each firm of the project team (prime and all sub-consultants). Consultants will be required to disclose any financial or other interest they may have in the outcome of the environmental document, in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality Regulation, 40 C.F.R. §1506.5(c) (1999). The **DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION** is included in the Appendix to this RFP.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (SCDOT COMMISSION): Section 23 of Act 40 of 2017 [now codified as S. C. Code Section 57-1-350(G)] prohibits a member of the SCDOT Commission serving on July 1, 2017 (the effective date of the Act) or thereafter, from having an interest, direct or indirect, in any contract awarded by the department during the member's term of appointment and for one year after the termination of the appointment. Therefore, any proposal or bid submitted to SCDOT in violation of this law will be disqualified.

COST RATE: Consultants and sub-consultants must have an SCDOT approved indirect cost rate prior to contract execution. Please refer to the following link for additional information:

http://www.scdot.org/doing/contractor_Audit.aspx.

CURRENT AND FORMER SCDOT EMPLOYEES: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal will be disqualified that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee serving in a management level position within 365 days of the submittal. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee, who served in a management level position or above, may work on or invoice for services performed on a project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT. For the purposes of this bright line rule, "management level position" is defined as any SCDOT Pay Band 7 and above position, which includes, but is not limited to, Directors, Assistant Directors, District Engineering Administrators, District-level Engineers, Program Managers, Assistant Program Managers and Resident-level Engineers.

DBE QUALIFICATION: To qualify as a DBE on this project, the firm must be listed as approved for the type of work to be performed in the South Carolina Unified DBE Directory at the time of the bid submittal. Consultants shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The directory can be found at the following link: http://www.scdot.org/doing/doingPDFs/businessDevelop/UCP_DBEDirectory.pdf.

DBE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS: All executed contracts are subject to the provisions of the SCDOT DBE Program and 49 CFR Part 26. SCDOT is utilizing the DBE Quarterly Reports and DBE Status Spreadsheet as tracking tools. The DBE Quarterly Report reflects quarterly and total payments to date for all DBE firms. A separate DBE Quarterly Report must be submitted for each DBE firm for every active contracts even if no payments were made to DBEs during the specified reporting period. The DBE Status Spreadsheet reflects a summary of payments to all committed and non-committed DBE firms working on the project, and must be submitted along with the DBE Quarterly Reports.

DBE Utilization Plan: A plan for use of DBE firms on the advertised project. The plan should be specific to the project and should include the firms to be used and the type of work each will perform. An estimated percentage of work for each firm should be indicated.

DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION: Federal regulations require certification by prospective consultants as to current history regarding debarment, eligibility, indictments, convictions, or civil judgments. Consultant is required to submit the Debarment certification with its RFP response. Any individual, business, organization, corporation, consortium, partnership, joint venture, or any other entity including sub-consultants currently debarred or suspended is ineligible to participate as a candidate for this process. Any entity ineligible to conduct business in the State of South Carolina for any reason is ineligible to respond to the RFP. A CERTIFICATION OF PRIMARY PARTICIPANT REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS is included in the Appendix to this RFP.

DEBRIEFING: If a non-selected consultant would like to schedule a debriefing, consultant will have three (3) working days from the date of notification of non-selection. Only written requests (emails are acceptable) for a debriefing will be scheduled. If a consultant chooses to schedule a debriefing prior to contract signature, they can no longer be considered for award of this contract. However, the option exists to schedule a post-award debrief which will not jeopardize their opportunity for contract award should negotiations with the selected firm(s) prove unsuccessful.

DRUG FREE WORK PLACE CERTIFICATION: By submitting an RFP response, consultant certifies that, if awarded a contract, consultant will comply with all applicable provisions of the Drug-free Workplace Act, Title 44, Chapter 107 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended.

ETHICS CERTIFICATION: By submitting this RFP, the consultant certifies that the consultant has and will comply with South Carolina's Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - §8-13-790, 8-13-705, 8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - §8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - §8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - §8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - §8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - §8-13-755, §8-13-760 and §8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

INSURANCE and BONDS: Consultant is responsible to obtain all required statutory and contractual insurance and bonds, including but not limited to Professional liability insurance and Error and Omissions insurance, and shall be submitted to the SCDOT prior to execution of contract.

JOINT VENTURES: If consultant is a partnership, limited partnership, joint venture or other association (hereinafter joint venture) provide a copy of the organizational document or agreement committing to form the organization. Provide documentation from its surety company acknowledging the formation of a joint venture for the purpose of completing the project and that the joint venture is capable of obtaining a performance bond and payment bond in the estimated amount of the contract. Provide a statement executed by all general partners, joint venture members, or other association members, as applicable, evidencing agreement to be fully liable for the performance under the contract. Provide documentation evidencing the person signing the contract has authority to sign the contract on behalf of the joint venture. This information may be included in the appendices and will not be counted against the maximum page limitation. PROPOSERS are reminded that each individual joint venture partner must be prequalified with SCDOT prior to submission of the RFP response.

KEY INDIVIDUALS: Key individuals are those personnel deemed critical to the success of the project. They often vary from project to project. It is incumbent on the prime consultant to make a determination as to who they deem "key". In general terms, it can include, but not limited to: the project manager and those individuals listed as leads for each functionally specific discipline on the project organization chart. After the selection process any requested replacement of key individuals must be approved by SCDOT management. To qualify for SCDOT's authorization to replace a key individual, the firm must submit the resume and SF 330 of the replacement, a written request explaining the reason for the change, and must document that the proposed removal and replacement will provide services and/or management of the Project equal to or better than that submitted with the proposal. SCDOT will use the criteria specified in the advertisement and the qualification submitted by the firm to evaluate all requests. SCDOT reserves the right to reject the replacement request. All changes in the team must be approved by SCDOT in writing.

KEY INDIVIDUAL, STAFF AND TEAM CHANGES DURING SELECTION PROCESS:

(A) Failure of the Prime Consultant to notify SCDOT of any changes in key personnel may render the Submitted for this Request for Proposals non-responsive and subject to rejection. SCDOT reserves the right to seek clarifications to confirm unavailability.

(B) If after submission of the Submitted for this Request for Proposals any key personnel become unavailable, the Prime Consultant shall notify SCDOT immediately of the unavailability and submit the name and resume of a replacement having equal or better qualifications.

(C) If the CO is notified by the consultant that key individuals are not available, action must be taken as follows: (1) if notified before scoring is complete, but after deadline for submittal, the consultant can submit the resume of the person who would be replacing the key individual. The selection committee will score using the new key individual; (2) if notified after the scoring is complete, but prior to final approval, and the change involves the top scoring consultant, the consultant can submit the resume of the person who will replace the key individual. The selection committee must then determine if the new person would affect the selection results. If not, the CO will notate the change and the justification for keeping the selection results. If it does, the selection committee will re-score the top consultant and change the selection; or (3) if a consultant notifies the CO of a key individual change any time after the final approval, the SCDOT must determine if the new key individual is acceptable. If not, the consultant will be rejected and the next highest qualified consultant will be selected.

(D) To qualify for SCDOT's authorization to replace a key individual, the firm must submit the resume and SF 330 of the replacement, a written request explaining the reason for the change and must document that the proposed removal and replacement will provide services and/or management of the

Project equal to or better than that submitted with the proposal. SCDOT will use the criteria specified in the advertisement and the qualification submitted by the firm to evaluate all requests. SCDOT reserves the right to reject the firm from further consideration if the new member is not approved. All changes in the team must be approved by SCDOT in writing.

LAWS AND REGULATIONS: It is the responsibility of the consultant to know and understand state and federal contracting and project regulations, rules, policies and procedures. Consultants shall conform to all state and federal requirements.

LEGAL NAME: This is defined as an individual's formal name first, middle, and last name, (including maiden name if applicable). Nick names may be included as an addition to, but not a replacement of the formal, legal name.

MANAGER: The individual responsible for administering and providing project oversight. This position does not require a professional engineer's license by the state of South Carolina or a bachelor of science in engineering if the individual is deemed qualified based on level of experience.

MULTIPLE PROPOSALS: Consultants are prohibited from submitting on multiple proposals as the prime consultant in response to this advertisement.

OWNERSHIP: All materials and written qualifications submitted pursuant to this RFP shall become the property of SCDOT and will not be returned. All responders must visibly mark as "CONFIDENTIAL" each part of their submission that they consider to contain proprietary information the release of which would constitute an unreasonable invasion of privacy. All unmarked pages will be subject to release in accordance with law. Proposer should be prepared, upon request, to provide justification of why such materials should not be disclosed under the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act, S.C. Code Section 30-4-10, et seq.

PREPARATION OF RFP: Consultants and/or sub-consultants who assist the owner in the preparation of a RFP document will not be allowed to participate in this RFP or join a team submitting a proposal in response to the RFP. However, SCDOT may determine there is not an organizational conflict of interest for a consultant or sub-consultant where: (a) The role of the consultant or sub-consultant was limited to provision of preliminary design, reports, or similar "low-level" documents that will be incorporated into the RFP, and did not include assistance in development of instructions to proposer or evaluation criteria, or (b) Where all documents and reports delivered to the agency by the consultant or sub-consultant are made available to all consultants.

REQUIRED PERCENTAGE OF WORK FOR PRIME CONSULTANTS: Consultant must perform work valued at not less than 30% of the total work, excluding specialized services, with its own staff. Specialized services are those services or items not usually furnished by a consultant performing a particular type of services requested.

RESPONSIBLE: Award of the contract will be to firms who have the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements and the integrity and reliability which will assure good faith performance which may be substantiated by past performance.

RESPONSIVENESS: Any RFP response which fails to conform to the material requirements of the RFP may be rejected as nonresponsive. Reasons for determining a proposal to be non-responsive may result from, but are not limited to, the following: failure to provide all information requested in RFP, conflict of interests, conditional proposals, and failure to provide complete and honest information. Proposers will not be given an opportunity to correct any material nonconformity. Any deficiency resulting from a minor informality may be clarified or waived at the sole discretion of the SCDOT.

RFP PREPARATION COSTS: SCDOT assumes no liability and will not reimburse costs incurred by firms (whether selected or not) in developing responses to this RFP or participating in interviews.

RIGHT TO MODIFY and AMEND RFP: SCDOT reserves the right to modify or amend any provision of this RFP, including the determination of its intent to award a contract pursuant to this RFP. Interested engineering consultants are cautioned to rely solely on the contents of this RFP and subsequent written

amendments in preparing any list of qualifications. SCDOT shall not be bound by any oral instructions, comments, or recommendations of any kind.

RIGHT TO REJECT: SCDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any and all RFP responses if its determines that such rejection is in the best interest of the State

RIGHT TO CANCEL: SCDOT reserves the right to cancel the advertisement, negotiations, or contract at any time in the best interest of the State.

TERMS OF CONTRACT: The boilerplates terms for all SCDOT contracts are non-negotiable.

VALIDITY OF INFORMATION: Consultant shall be held responsible for the validity of all information supplied in its proposal, including that provided by potential subcontractors. Should subsequent investigation disclose that the facts and conditions were not as stated, the proposal may be rejected or contract terminated for default if after award, in addition to any other remedy available under the contract or by law.

J. APPENDIX - REQUIRED FORMS

The following completed forms are required to be returned with each proposal:

- Certificate Of Non-Collusion
- Certification Of Primary Participant Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Sub-Consultant / Sub-Contractor Regarding Debarment, Suspension, And Other Responsibility Matters
- Certification Of Restrictions On Lobbying
- Certification Of Consultant
- Disclosure Of Potential Conflict Of Interest Certification

CERTIFICATE OF NON-COLLUSION

By submission of proposal, each person signing on behalf of any consultant certifies, and in the case of a joint proposal, each party thereto certifies as to its own organization, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of knowledge and belief:

- 1) The proposal is submitted without collusion, consultation, communication, or agreement for the purpose of restricting competition, with any other bidder or with any competitor;
- 2) No attempt has been made or will be made by the proposer to induce any other person, partnership or corporation to submit or not to submit a proposal for the purpose of restricting competition.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Official

Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Date

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me
this _____ day of _____, 201____.

My commission expires _____.

Notary Public

CERTIFICATION OF PRIMARY CONSULTANT REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

The prime consultant, _____ certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

- 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
- 2) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or Local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- 3) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (2) of this certification; and
- 4) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or Local) terminated for cause or default.

If the prime consultant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, the consultant shall attach an explanation to this certification.

The primary consultant, _____ certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statements submitted on or with this certification and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Sections 3801 et seq, are applicable thereto.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Official

Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Date

CERTIFICATION OF SUB-CONSULTANT / SUB-CONTRACTOR REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

The sub-consultant/contractor, _____ certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

- 1) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
- 2) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or Local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- 3) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (2) of this certification; and
- 4) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or Local) terminated for cause or default.

If the prime consultant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, the consultant shall attach an explanation to this certification.

The sub- consultant/contractor, _____ certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statements submitted on or with this certification and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Sections 3801 et seq, are applicable thereto.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Official

Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Date

Date

CERTIFICATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON LOBBYING

The consultant certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that:

1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of a Federal department or agency, a Member of the U.S. Congress, an officer or employee of the U.S. Congress, or an employee of a Member of the U.S. Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification thereof.

2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for making lobbying contacts to an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form--LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying", in accordance with its instructions (as amended by "Government wide Guidance for New Restrictions on Lobbying," 61 Fed. Reg. 1413 (1/19/96). Note: Language in paragraph (2) herein has been modified in accordance with Section 10 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-65, to be codified at 2 U.S.C. 1601, et seq.)).

3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontracts, sub-grants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by 31, U.S.C. § 1352 (as amended by the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995). Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

THE CONSULTANT, _____, CERTIFIES OR AFFIRMS THE TRUTHFULNESS AND ACCURACY OF EACH STATEMENT OF ITS CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY. IN ADDITION, THE CONSULANT UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES THAT THE PROVISIONS OF 31 U.S.C. §§ 3801 ET SEQ. APPLY TO THIS CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE, IF ANY.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Official

Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Date

CERTIFICATION OF CONSULTANT

I hereby certify that I am the duly authorized representative of CONSULTANT and that neither I nor the above CONSULTANT I here represent has:

- a) employed or retained for a commission, percentage, brokerage, contingent fee, or other consideration, any firm or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) to solicit or secure this contract;
- b) agreed, as an express or implied condition for obtaining this contract, to employ or retain the services of any firm or person in connection with carrying out the contract, or
- c) paid, or agreed to pay, to any firm, organization or person (other than a bona fide employee working solely for me or the above CONSULTANT) any fee, contribution, donation, or consideration of any kind for, or in connection with, procuring or carrying out the contract except as here expressly stated (if any);
- d) either directly or indirectly, entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action, in restraint of free competitive bidding in connection with the submitted proposal.

By execution of this Agreement, CONSULTANT certifies CONSULTANT and all sub-consultants, contractors, employees and agents will comply with South Carolina's Ethics, Government Accountability, and Campaign Reform Act of 1991, as amended. The following statutes require special attention: (a) Offering, giving, soliciting, or receiving anything of value to influence action of public employee - §8-13-790, 8-13-705, 8-13-720; (b) Recovery of kickbacks - §8-13-790, (c) Offering, soliciting, or receiving money for advice or assistance of public official - §8-13-720, (d) Use or disclosure of confidential information - §8-13-725, (e) Persons hired to assist in the preparation of specifications or evaluation of bids - §8-13-1150, (f) Solicitation of state employees - §8-13-755, §8-13-760 and §8-13-725. The state may rescind any contract and recover all amounts expended as a result of any action taken in violation of this provision.

I acknowledge that this certificate is to be furnished to the Department, the Federal Highway Administration, and the U. S. Department of Transportation, and is subject to applicable State and Federal laws, both criminal and civil.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Official

Printed Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Date

DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: By submitting a proposal, proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest is discovered after the proposal is submitted, the proposer must make an immediate and full disclosure to SCDOT that includes a description of the action that the proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflict. SCDOT considers it a conflict of interest for a consultant to represent more than one party in relation to any given project regardless of which phases of the service are involved. If after award of the contract an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, SCDOT may, at its discretion, cancel the contract. If the proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the contract and did not disclose the conflict to SCDOT, then SCDOT may terminate the contract for default.

The Consultant by signing this disclosure, certifies it does not have any financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, that it has no agreement, enforceable promise, or guarantee with any individual or company to provide any work on the project, that it does not have any association or professional or business relationships with anyone who has a financial interest in the outcome of the project, nor does anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of the project, exercise any control over the consultant’s pay, employment, bonuses, or other area subject to external influence.

The Consultant, by signing this disclosure, further certifies that it is in compliance with the CONFLICT OF INTEREST (SCDOT COMMISSION): Section 23 of Act 40 of 2017 [now codified as S. C. Code Section 57-1-350(G)] prohibits a member of the SCDOT Commission serving on July 1, 2017 (the effective date of the Act) or thereafter, from having an interest, direct or indirect, in any contract awarded by the department during the member’s term of appointment and for one year after the termination of the appointment. Therefore, any proposal or bid submitted to SCDOT in violation of this law will be disqualified.

The Consultant, by signing this disclosure, further certifies that it is in compliance with the CURRENT AND FORMER SCDOT EMPLOYEES policy: To avoid the appearance of any real or perceived favoritism, unfair advantage, undue influence, or conflict of interest, a proposal will be disqualified that names, identifies, or includes in any way a current or former SCDOT employee serving in a management level position within 365 days of the submittal. No communication or appearance shall be made by such current or former employee with SCDOT on such proposal, or the proposal will be disqualified. In addition no current or former employee, who served in a management level position or above, may work on or invoice for services performed on a project within 365 days after their last day of employment with SCDOT. For the purposes of this bright line rule, “management level position” is defined as any SCDOT Pay Band 7 and above position, which includes, but is not limited to, Directors, Assistant Directors, District Engineering Administrators, District-level Engineers, Program Managers, Assistant Program Managers and Resident-level Engineers.

Consultant hereby indicates that it has, to the best of its knowledge and belief has:

- _____ Determined that no potential organizational conflict of interest exists.
- _____ Determined a potential organizational conflict of interest as follows:

Attach additional sheets as necessary.

1. Describe nature of the potential conflict(s):
2. Describe measures proposed to mitigate the potential conflict(s):

Signature of Authorized Official

Date

Print Full Legal Name of Authorized Official

Company Name

If a potential conflict has been identified, please provide name and phone number for a contact person authorized to discuss this disclosure certification with Department of Transportation contract personnel.

Name

Phone

Company